Case 1:21-cv-00239-ADA-SKO Document 52 Filed 10/05/22 Page 1 of 2

1 2	Matthew S. Parmet (SBN 296742) PARMET PC 340 South Lemon Avenue, #1228 Walnut, California 91789	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, LLP Gregory W. Knopp (SBN 237615) Jonathan P. Slowik (SBN 287635)
	Walnut, California 91789 Tel: (310) 928-1277	Laura L. Vaughn (SBN 329470) 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 600
3	matt@parmet.law	Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (310) 229-1000
4	Don J. Foty (Pro Hac Vice)	gknopp@akingump.com
5	William M. Hogg (SBN 338196) HODGES & FOTY, LLP	jpslowik@akingump.com vaughnl@akingump.com
	4409 Montrose Boulevard, Suite 200	
6	Houston, Texas 77006 Tel: (713) 523-0001	Nathan J. Oleson (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) 2001 K Street, N.W.
7	dfoty@hftrialfirm.com	Washington, D.C. 60006
8	whogg@hftrialfirm.com	Tel: (202) 887-4000 noleson@akingump.com
	Counsel for Plaintiff and Putative Class	noteson@akingump.com
9	Members	Counsel for Defendant
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION	
12		
13	AMADO HARO and ROCHELLE ORTEGA, On Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated,	Case No. 1:21-CV-00239-ADA-SKO
14	·	ORDER GRANTING JOINT
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR EXPERT DEPOSITIONS FOR CLASS
	v.	CERTIFICATION
16	WALMART, INC.,	(Doc. 51)
17 18	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: Feb. 23, 2021 Trial Date: None
19		
20		
	The Court, having considered the parties' Joint Stipulation to engage in Expert Depositions	
21	for Class Certification (Doc. 51), and good cause having been shown, rules that the relief requested	
22	in the Stipulation is hereby GRANTED .	
23	IT IS ORDERED THAT:	
24	That each side's experts shall be deposed after the class certification discovery deadline.	
25	of May 19, 2022, and both side waive any challenge to the timeliness of these exper	
26	•	and the second s
27	depositions;	

That these depositions shall be taken for purposes of Defendant preparing its Opposition

28

Case 1:21-cv-00239-ADA-SKO Document 52 Filed 10/05/22 Page 2 of 2

Brief and for Plaintiffs to prepare their Reply Brief. That the Parties are permitted to rely on the deposition testimony taken from the expert depositions in their Opposition and Reply Briefs. That no documents may be used by the questioning attorney during the expert depositions that are responsive to an existing discovery request but were not available to the opposing party at the time said opposing party disclosed its experts' reports/declarations pertaining to the certification motions. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Sheila K. Oberto Dated: **October 5, 2022** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE